

OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

ANITA ALVAREZ STATE'S ATTORNEY

CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS BUREAU 2650 SOUTH CALIFORNIA AVE. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60608

To:

All Supervisors, Criminal Prosecutions Bureau

From:

Joe Magats, Deputy Chief, Criminal Prosecutions Bureau

Re:

Potential Giglio material regarding Chicago Police Officer Benny Williams, Star#

12544

Date:

May 19, 2016

Cc:

Dan Kirk, First Assistant

Walt Hehner, Chief Deputy

Garvin Ambrsoe, Chief of Staff

Fabio Valentini, Chief, Criminal Prosecutions Bureau

Mike Golden, Chief, Special Prosecutions Bureau

Tisa Morris, Chief, Juvenile Justice Bureau

Donald Pechous, Acting Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

Brian Sexton, Chief, Narcotics Prosecutions Bureau

On April 1, 2016 The Honorable Judge William Hooks, in the case of <u>The People of The State of Illinois v. Christopher Haynes a.k.a.</u> Joshua Davis, 14CR-19746, made findings regarding the credibility of Chicago Police Officer Benny Williams, Star# 12544 that may impact his credibility should he testify in court in the future. A copy of Judge Hooks' findings is attached to this memo.

Pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 US 83 (1963), the prosecution's disclosure of exculpatory information is part of the constitutional right to a fair trial. This duty of disclosure also includes evidence that may impeach the credibility of a prosecution witness. <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150, 154 (1972). Judge Hooks' findings regarding Officer Williams' testimony could potentially be

found to be the type of information required to be disclosed under Giglio.

Given this possibility, if you or any of the assistants that you supervise have a case where Officer Williams is listed as a potential witness on our answer to discovery, Judge Hooks' finding is to be disclosed to the defense prior to trial. The MIS Department is doing a computer search to determine whether Officer Williams is a witness on any pending felony cases. Once I have the results of that search, I will forward them to each of you. Please don't call MIS and have another list run. In addition, MIS has been instructed to flag Officer Williams' name in CRIMES to alert ASAs that a notice of disclosure is required in the case. Units that do not have a computer case management system will have to check their files to determine whether Officer Williams is a witness on any pending cases.

If you or the assistants that you supervise have a case where Officer Williams is listed as a witness, please tender to the defense the attached Notice of Disclosure prior to trial. None of the ASAs that you supervise are to file the notice without your knowledge. An electronic copy of the notice will be sent to you.

Also please remind your ASAs that the mere fact that this possible <u>Giglio</u> material is discoverable and has been tendered to the defense does not in and of itself mean that the defense can introduce the evidence at trial or cross-examine Officer Williams regarding the material. The ASAs should, in the appropriate cases, file all necessary motions to seek a judicial determination as to whether this information is <u>Giglio</u> material and, if so, whether the material is relevant and admissible at any trial or hearing where Officer Williams is going to be called as a witness.

In general, the case law in this area is, of course, set out in <u>United States v. Brady</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). The timing of disclosure is governed by <u>Weatherford v. Bursey</u>, 429 U.S. 545 (1997). What needs to be disclosed is discussed in <u>United States v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97 (1976); <u>United States v. Diaz</u>, 922 F.2d (2nd Cir. 1990); <u>United States v. Veras</u>, 51 F.3d 1365 (7th Cir. 1995) and <u>United States v. Kiszewski</u>, 877 F.2d 210 (2nd Cir. 1989). Limiting the use of this type of information is discussed in <u>People v. Driskell</u>, 213 Ill.App.3d 196 (4th Dist. 1991) and <u>People v. Fonza</u>, 217 Ill.App.3d 883, 892 (1st Dist. 1991).

STATE OF	(ILLINOIS)	·
COUNTY	OF COOK)	
		UIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY ARTMENT, CRIMINAL DIVISION
PEOPLE O	F THE STATE OF ILL	LINOIS)
	vs) Case No
	/)
	NOTICE OF DIS	SCLOSURE TO THE DEFENDANT
State's Atto		the State of Illinois by their attorney Anita Alvarez, Illinois, through her assistants / and / hereby disclose to
1.	The People of The S Davis, 14CR-19746,	The Honorable Judge William Hooks, in the case of State of Illinois v. Christopher Haynes a.k.a. Joshua made findings regarding the credibility of Chicago Williams, Star# 12544 that may impact his credibility urt in the future.
2.	That Officer Williams is listed as a witness for the prosecution in the above-captioned case.	
3.	A copy of Judge Hook	cs' April 1, 2016 finding is attached to this Notice.
		Respectfully submitted,
		Anita Alvarez State's Attorney of Cook County
	By:	
		Assistant State's Attorney
	,	Assistant State's Attorney